

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 NICHOLAS MURRAY, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 TIME INC.,

13 Defendant.

14 No. C 12-00431 JSW

15 **ORDER VACATING HEARING
AND CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE**

16 This matter comes before the Court upon consideration of the Motion to Dismiss filed
17 by Defendant Time, Inc. (“Time”). The Court has considered the parties’ papers, relevant legal
18 authority, and the record in this case, and the Court finds the matter suitable for disposition
19 without oral argument. The Court VACATES the hearing scheduled for April 27, 2012, and the
20 Court shall issue a ruling in due course. The Court also VACATES the case management
21 conference scheduled for May 11, 2012. The Court shall set a new date, if necessary, in its
22 Order resolving the motion to dismiss.

23 The Court notes that, in its reply brief, Time objected to Plaintiff’s opposition brief on
24 the basis that it exceeds this Court’s page limitations for motions, but it did not ask the Court to
25 take any action. (Reply Br. at 15 n. 21.) Plaintiff’s brief did exceed the page limits permitted
26 by this Court. (*See* Standing Order ¶ 6.) All parties are advised that if they fail to comply with
27 the Court’s page limits in the future and fail to seek leave of court to exceed those limits, the
28 Court

//

1 shall strike any offending brief without further notice to the party.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: April 26, 2012

4 
5 JEFFREY S. WHITE
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28